## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURFILED

### **Minute Entry**

KEVIN E O'BRIEN, CLERK

UNITE STATES

BANKRUST C SOURT

THE DISTRICT OF ARIZUMA

#### **Hearing Information:**

Debtor:

MEST, L.P.

Case Number:

2-98-12547-ECF-CGC

Chapter: 11

Date / Time / Room:

THURSDAY, AUGUST 05, 1999 03:30 PM 10TH FLOOR #6

Bankruptcy Judge: Courtroom Clerk:

CHARLES G. CASE II VIRGINIA BEEBY

Reporter / ECR: RACHAEL STAPLETON

#### <u>Matter:</u>

EXPEDITED HEARING ON MOTION TO AMEND ORDERS ESTABLISHING BIDDING PROCEDURES AND APPROVING BID PROTECTION, BREAK-UP FEE AND EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT R/M#: 0/0

#### Appearances:

SEE ATTACHED APPEARANCE SHEET

APPEARANCE SHEET CASE NO./NAME: DATE/TIME: COUNSEL CLIENT BMAC ansec Cr Conte Richard Casher

# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA Minute Entry

(continue)... 2-98-12547-ECF-CGC

THURSDAY, AUGUST 05, 1999 03:30 PM

#### Proceedings:

Mr. Stroube notes the parties have requested this expedited hearing to amend two orders. As of July 30, 1999 a lead proposal was not selected as ordered. The relief requested today provides modifications to facilitate acceptance of the bid in hand. Resolutions have been made to the points brought up by the Bank with four parts to the relief requested in the motion that were taken issue to. He further discusses these in depth placing the terms on the record.

Mr. Nyhan responds with clarifications in his perspective. He will oppose any increase of the fee.

Mr. Murphy notes the arrangement already in place is working and the provisions bargained for were important. He further discusses the effect of the proposed changes.

Ms. Lacey notes the 95 Lenders do not take a specific position today. She would like to see other bidders in the game.

Mr. Casher opposes the sale as premature in view of the progress the Company continues to make.

Mr. Mullin in response to the Bank's position, comments on the issues in the order as presented. He places his intent on the record with the terms of the bid.

Mr. Stroube responds to a couple of points as to what is being amended.

THE COURT REVIEWS THE EXACT PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE ORDER OF JUNE 30, 1999 AND NOTES NO OBJECTION TO THE CHANGES PROPOSED TO THE JULY 23, 1999 ORDER OR THE MODIFIED DATE CHANGES.

Mr. Stroube advises the changes are more fully outlined in the bid as an exhibit to the motion.

Mr. Nyhan replies to set the record straight. He addresses the adequate protection issue and reviews his position on the bid terms.

Mr. Murphy addresses the status of the negotiations and lender consent issue. He urges the court to agree to the \$150,000 expense reimbursement as discussed and impose a "no shop" for eight days. Accepting this bid is way premature.

COURT: BASED UPON THE STATEMENTS PLACED ON THE RECORD, IT IS ORDERED DENYING THE MOTION FOR THOSE REASONS. THE COURT ALSO MAKES SUGGESTIONS FOR PROVISIONS SIMILAR TO THE ONES PROPOSED THAT MIGHT BE APPROPRIATE AND APPROVED IN FUTURE MOTIONS.

Page: 2 of 2